

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/580,110	MITTS ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Sarae Bausch	1634	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After-final Rejection

(1) Jehanne Souaya Sitton.

(3) Sarae Bausch.

(2) W. Scott Harders.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 May 2004

**Time:** 3:30PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Pending

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed upon by both parties that the Examiners amendment would include the terminology "comprising" in claim 1 and "combinations thereof" in claim 1, 6, and 7. In addition the correction of the brief description of the drawings within the specification was agreed upon to include Fig. 2A-D.